INTERNET.

Building 10-Gbps Networks: A few observations on the national and regional scales in the U.S.

Steve Corbató corbato@internet2.edu Director, Network Initiatives, Internet2 & Visiting Fellow, Center for High Performance Computing, University of Utah

CUDI Reunión de Primavera 2005 Veracruz, Mexico

29 April 2005



Abilene Network

- MAN LAN exchange point (NYC)
- National LambdaRail (NLR)
- Testbed for hybrid networking (HOPI)
- Planning for next generation networks and services
- Regional networking and dark fiber



Abilene Backbone





Abilene Participants February 2005

- IP-over-DWDM (OC-192c)
- •42 (44) direct connections (OC-3c \rightarrow 10 GigE)
 - 3 (2) 10 GigE connections
 - 6 (6) OC-48c (2 more in the near future)
 - 3 (2) GigE connection
 - 25 (23) connections at OC-12c (13) or higher
 - Cost recovery model reduced to encourage upgrades

233 (228) participants – research universities & labs

- All 50 states, District of Columbia, & Puerto Rico
- U.S. Census Bureau and World Bank most recent additions; Library of Congress coming soon!
- Expanded access
 - 119 (106) sponsored participants
 - 34 (33) state education networks
- (#): status six months ago



Connectivity to Exchange Points

- MANLAN (New York City) 10 GigE
- PacWave (Seattle) 10 GigE
- PacWave (LA) 10 GigE

- Starlight (Chicago) 2 x 10 GigE
- AMPATH (Miami) GigE (via SoX and FLR)
- NGIX East (Washington, DC) 10 GigE
- NGIX West (NASA Ames)– 1 GigE
- PAIX (Palo Alto) IPv6 and Multicast Peerings



MAN LAN services and capabilities

- Layer 2 Ethernet switch for IPv4/v6 peering with 1 GigE and 10 GigE interfaces
- Layer 1 TDM based optical equipment (SONET/Ethernet interfaces)
 - Cisco 15454
 - Nortel OME 6500
 - Nortel HDXc
- Layer 0 equipment to be installed soon
 - Optical cross connect to facilitate changes

MAN LAN Configuration

INTERNET.



5/2/2005 7

National LambdaRail Architecture

N L R light the future



Generic NLR Node Layout – Layer 1, 2, and 3 Capabilities



— 10G wave, link or port

— 1G wave, link or port





Global Lambda Integration Facility (GLIF)

INTERNET.



HOPI Project - Summary

Background:

N E T.

- Circuit (lambda) switching can be inefficient and costly
 - If the two end points aren't transmitting, resource is unused
 - Efficient for large file transfers
 - As the cost of optical networks continues to drop, circuit switching becomes more practical
- Packet switching is more efficient but it is debatable whether it can meet the needs of all researchers

Question: How will the next generation architecture evolve?

•Objective: Examine a **hybrid** of shared IP packet switching and dynamically provisioned optical lambdas

HOPI Project – <u>Hybrid Optical and Packet Infrastructure</u>

- Whitepaper see http://hopi.internet2.edu
- Immediate Goals
 - Implement testbed over the next year
 - Coordinate and experiment with other similar projects
- Design & Corporate Advisory Teams

HOPI problem statement

Problems to understand

- Goal is to look at fundamental architecture
- Requirements of dynamic provisioning
- Temporal duration of dynamic paths and requirement for scheduling
- Examine backbone, regional, campus hierarchy how will a RON interface with the core network?
- Understand connectivity to other infrastructures for example, international or federal networks?
- Network operations, management and measurement across administrative domains?







HOPI Topology











INTERNET.

Abilene/NLR Map



HOPI deployment schedule

HOPI Nodes

- Los Angeles (Equinix) Participation in UltraLight and HENP projects ~ early April, 2005
- Washington, DC (DRAGON) Participation in DRAGON and Cheetah projects ~ early April, 2005
- Chicago (Star Light) Participation with international partners ~ early May, 2005
- Seattle, WA (Pacific Northwest GigaPoP/Pacific Wave) -Participation with international partners ~ late May, 2005
- New York City (NYSERNet) For connection to MAN LAN and international partners (SURFNet, CANARIE) ~ July, 2005
- OC-192c circuit from NYC to London to interconnect with GEANT2 hybrid ~ July, 2005
 - ESnet and CANARIE participants
 - Complements existing OC192-c (IEEAF/Tyco) from NYC to Amsterdam/SURFnet



- October 2007 End of recent 1-year
 Abilene transport MoU extension
 - Sets 3rd-generation network planning timeline
 - Architecture definition: end 4Q05
 - Transport selection: end 1Q06

- Router and other equipment selection: end 2Q06
- Backbone deployed: end 4Q06
- Connector transition (if necessary): starting 1Q07
- Concurrently, review overall business plan and management model
- Network design time frame: 2007-2012



Next Generation Network Design

Critical factors

- RON and International integration
- Advanced service support
 - (Multicast, v6), High Performance Throughput, Measurement
- Enhanced network research facilitation
- Network and end-user security
- Options for increased reliability

Process

- Hybrid architecture evaluation (HOPI)
 - IP core using 40?/10 Gbps transport
 - Dedicated capabilities (λ 's, MPLS tunnels)
- Evaluation of optical transport capabilities
 - NLR, commercial providers & RONs
- Design & planning collaboration
 - U.S. & int'l partners (ESNet, TeraGrid, SURFnet, GEANT 322)05 | 19

Potential Model for Services and Capabilities to be Offered in Next Generation Higher Education Network Infrastructure





Expanded spectrum of potential services and capabilities

- Dark fiber, wireless spectrum
- Wavelengths

INTERNET.

- Subchannels
 - Gigabit Ethernet 'circuits'
 - -SONET circuitsgrea
 - -MPLS tunnels
- IPv4/v6
- Overlay network support

Need for new model of customer support and end-to-end connectivity delivery assurance

- Working across campus, regional & national scales
- Effective campus penetration of new services is a critical issue

21



 The fundamental nature of regional networking is changing

- The GigaPoP model based on provisioned, highcapacity services steadily is being replaced – on the metro and regional scales
- A model of facility-based networking built with owned assets – Regional Optical Networks (RONs) – has emerged
 - Notably, this change *increases* the importance of regional networks in the traditional *three-level hierarchy* of U.S. R&E advanced networking



- 1. Convene visionary and enthusiastic regional partners
- 2. Identify scientific and other research drivers key partners!
- 3. Assemble a technical working group

N E T.

- 4. Develop approaches to governance & capitalization and preliminary business plan
- 5. Study dark fiber availability and procure fiber
- 6. Evaluate, select and procure optronics platform (note fiber dependencies)
- 7. Refine business plan (i.e., λ pricing/cost-recovery model)
- 8. Focus on means to extend new capabilities to the researchers on campuses
- 9. Learn how to operate and maintain the system
- **10**. Install and commission optical equipment (co-location)
- 11. At last, provision λ 's and other overlaying services!

Credit: Chris Buja (Cisco Systems) for his insights 5/2/2005

23



- http://abilene.internet2.edu (Abilene)
- <u>http://abilene.internet2.edu/observatory</u> (Observatory)
- <u>http://ipv6.internet2.edu</u> (IPv6)

INTERNET.

- <u>http://e2epi.internet2.edu</u> (Performance)
- http://networks.internet2.edu/manlan (MAN LAN)
- http://www.fiberco.org (FiberCo and dark fiber)
- http://hopi.internet2.edu (HOPI)
- <u>http://www.nlr.net</u> (National Lambda Rail NLR)
- <u>http://www.glif.is</u> (Global Lm)

INTERNET®

www.internet2.edu